Accelerated liver disease in aggressive hepatitis C recurrence post-liver transplantation may be due to enhanced apoptosis mediated by both virus and immunosuppressants



Eu Jin Lim^{1,2}, Ruth Chin², Peter W. Angus^{1,2}, Joseph Torresi^{2,3} Liver Transplant Unit (1) and Department of Infectious Diseases (3), Austin Hospital. Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Austin Health (2)



Introduction

Results

Effect of Immunosuppressants on rAdHCV-infected cells



Hepatitis C (HCV)related liver failure is now the commonest indication for liver transplantation (OLT) in Australia.

These patients are noted to have a poorer survival compared to those transplanted for other indications.

This is because HCV recurrence in the allograft commonly follows an aggressive course, with at least 20% of patients developing cirrhosis within 5 years of transplantation.

Induction of hepatocyte apoptosis may be one mechanism by which HCV drives liver injury.

In post-liver transplant HCV recurrence, the combination of immunosuppressants and viral replication is postulated to increase hepatocyte apoptosis and accelerate liver fibrosis.

Aims

We investigated:

- 1) Hepatocyte apoptosis in liver biopsies of HCV-infected patients pre- and post-liver transplant,
- 2) The effects of HCV and immunosuppressants on cell



■ 4-6-fold ↑ in M30 and clPARP

compared to HCV-negative post-OLT patients.

Effect of Immunosuppressants on Cell Viability

Fold Reduction in Cell Viability compared to Mock at 96 hrs

P = 0.0010

P = 0.0013 Ž 20-P = 0.0182 P = 0.0022**Cleaved PARP at 48 hours** P = 0.0041 to Mo P = 0.0164 bared 25 P < 0.0001 CvA + HCV MME + HCV CvA+M **Effect of Q-VD-Oph** Fold Reduction in Cell Viability compared to Mock at 72 hours P = 0.0001P = 0.03

ared to I

Cleaved Caspase 3 at 48 hours In **PMoH** harvested at 48 hours post-treatment

- Effect of CyA ↑ clCasp3 by 2.8-fold
 - **↑** clPARP by 4.5-fold
- Effect of **MMF**
- ClCasp3 by 1.5-fold
- clPARP by 1.9-fold
- Effect of the combination of CyA & MMF
 - ↑ clCasp3 by 6.3-fold
 - **↑** clPARP by 7.1-fold

Inhibition of Cell Death



HCV Infection + Immunosuppressants

+ Apoptosis / Necroptosis Inhibitors

Effect of Q-VD and Nec-1 on rAdHCV infection

In Huh7 cells,

Infection with rAdHCV • ↓ cell viability by 1.7-fold

death in - primary human hepatocytes (PHH), - primary murine hepatocytes (PMoH), and

- human hepatoma cells (Huh7),
- 3) The effects of pan-caspase inhibition and RIP-kinase inhibition on HCV-induced hepatocyte cell death.

Materials and Methods

Human Liver Immunohistochemistry

Hepatocyte apoptosis was assessed via immunohistochemistry in liver tissue of pre- and post-transplant liver biopsies of HCVinfected and HCV-negative patients for markers of apoptosis:

- M30 CytoDEATH (M30)
- Cleaved PARP (clPARP)

Cell Experiments

Huh7 cells, PHH (from Lonza), and PMoH (from C57BL/6 mice) were infected with **recombinant adenoviruses** encoding

- GFP (used as control viral infection)
- HCV-CoreE1E2 (structural proteins)
- HCV-NS3-5B (non-structural proteins)





- rAdHCV infection Ψ hepatocyte cell viability by 1.6-fold
- Addition of various immunosuppressants to rAdHCV infection further Ψ cell viability
- The combination of CyA and MMF added to rAdHCV infection appeared to be the most toxic, Ψ cell viability by 4-fold

Effect of Immunosuppressants on Apoptosis

Effect of rAdHCV Infection on Apoptosis

• **PHH** harvested at 48 hours post-infection with rAdHCV.





p=0.047

Cleaved PARP

NS3-5B

 rAdHCV ↓ in cell viability by only 1.2-fold (P = 0.03)

- In the presence of **Nec-1**, rAdHCV ↓ cell viability by only 1.2-fold (P = 0.15)
- In rAdHCV-infection sensitized with 10 ng/mL of TNF- α
- rAdHCV infection + TNF-α • ↓ cell viability by 2.7 fold
- This was improved significantly by the addition of both Q-VD and Nec-1

Effect of Q-VD and Nec-1 on Immunosuppressanttreated rAdHCV infection

- Addition of Q-VD
 - greatly reduced cIPARP by 50- to 120fold (P < 0.006)
- Addition of Nec-1
 - had no effect on cIPARP (P = 0.753).

Conclusions

HCV + CyA

Hepatocyte apoptosis was significantly increased in HCV-infected patients pre- and post-OLT compared to HCV-negative patients.

in the presence or absence of physiologically relevant doses of

- **cyclosporine** (CyA, kind gift from Novartis) and/or
- mycophenolate mofetil (MMF, kind gift from Roche)
- Pan-caspase inhibitor **Q-VD-Oph** (Q-VD)
- RIP-kinase inhibitor Necrostatin-1 (Nec-1)
- Treated cells evaluated at set time points, compared to mock or rAdGFP.
- Cell viability was evaluated using crystal violet assays.
- Cell apoptosis was evaluated using Western immunoblots performed on cell lysates probed for
 - cleaved Caspase 3 (clCasp3) and
 - cleaved PARP (clPARP).



Infection with rAdGFP

30 kDa

- only ↑ cleaved PARP by 1.7 fold,
- and ↑ cleaved caspase 3 by 1.3 fold.
- but infection with rAdHCV-CoreE1E2, rAdHCV-NS3-5B & both, cleaved PARP was ↑ by 3.1, 4.2 and 3.9 fold,
 - and cleaved caspase 3 was ↑ by 2.1, 2.6 and 2.3 fold.

Effect of Immunosuppressants Alone on Apoptosis

- in PMoH, CyA at 1 µg/mL had no effect on cleaved PARP or cleaved caspase 3 compared to mock
- In contrast, MMF at 5 µg/mL
 - ↓ cleaved PARP by 2.0 fold,
 - ✓ cleaved caspase 3 by 1.9 fold.
- The combination of CyA (1 µg/mL) and MMF (5 µg/mL)
 - ↑ cleaved PARP by 2.5 fold,
 - ↑ cleaved caspase 3 by 1.9 fold.

HCV infection reduced cell viability and increased apoptosis.

HCV + MMF HCV + CyA + MMF

- Immunosuppressive agents CyA and MMF further promoted cell death, and may explain the accelerate progression of liver disease in post-liver transplant HCV recurrence.
- Inhibition of apoptosis by Q-VD-Oph partially restored cell viability and reduced cell death in rAdHCV-infected hepatocytes.
- Partial reversal of cell death by Necrostatin-1 suggests a possible alternate pathway of cell death in HCV infection (ie. necroptosis).

These results provide an insight into the mechanisms responsible for accelerated liver fibrosis seen in HCV recurrence post-liver transplantation and possible novel therapeutic targets in this setting.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Eu Jin Lim is supported by a scholarship from the NHMRC